



Priority _____
Send _____
Enter _____
Closed *[Signature]*
JS-HS-6
JS-2/JS-3
Scan Only

8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA – EASTERN DIVISION**

11 SUSANNA WALKER;

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 TARGET CORPORATION, DOE
EMPLOYEE and DOES 1 TO 30,
15 Inclusive.

16 Defendants.

CASE NO. 5:18-cv-01072-SVW
(AFMx)

**PROPOSED ORDER REMANDING
CASE TO RIVERSIDE COUNTY
SUPERIOR COURT**

17
18 Having considered the stipulation between Plaintiff SUSANNA WALKER
19 and Defendant TARGET CORPORATION, and good cause appearing therefor:

20 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that Plaintiff SUSANNA WALKER, as a
21 result of the alleged incident and subsequent claim all described more fully in the
22 Complaint filed in the Superior Court of the State of California, County of
23 Riverside, Case No. RIC 1723261 (“State Court”), entitled Susanna Walker v.
24 Target Corporation (the “State Court Action”), has incurred total damages, if any,
25 that do not, and will never be claimed to, exceed \$75,000.00. Accordingly, this
26 case does not meet the jurisdictional requirements for removal as defined in 28

27 ///

28 ///

1 U.S.C. §1332(a), and this matter is hereby remanded to the Superior Court of
2 California, County of Riverside.

4 | Dated: 2/15/18

Hon. Stephen V. Wilson
United States District Judge